3d Supp. Kekacs Decl. Ex. 373

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Care One Management, LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against- Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-06371-SDW-MAH United Healthcare Workers East, SEUI 1199, et al.,

Defendants.

Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP 156 West 56th Street New, New York 10019

November 21, 2018 9:00 a.m.

VIDEOTAPED EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of SCOTT LARUE, the Witness herein, held at the above-mentioned time and place, pursuant to Notice, before Ilysa A. Linzer, a Notary Public in and for the State of New York.

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES
320 West 37th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10018
(866) MAGNA-21



	26084		
	Page 6		Page 7
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE
2	follows:	2	as it would if we are in a court in front
3	EXAMINATION BY	3	of a judge and jury. Do you understand
4	MS. ALITO:	4	that?
5	Q. Good morning, Mr. LaRue. My	5	A. I do.
6	name is Rosemary Alito. I am a lawyer	6	Q. If I ask you a question that
7	representing Care One, LLC, and some	7	you don't understand, please let me know,
8	other Plaintiffs in the case that has	8	I will try to rephrase it so you do
9	been brought against the Service	9	understand. If you answer a question,
10	Employees International Union, and to an	10	everybody is going to assume that you
11	extent the locals.	11	understood it. If you don't know the
12	As you know, we are here today	12	answer to a question, just let us know.
13	to take your deposition. Have you ever	13	No one wants you to testify about things
14	had your deposition taken before?	14	that you don't know or don't remember.
15	A. Yes.	15	During normal conversation we
16	Q. Okay. I am still going to go	16	tend to start to speak before the other
17	over just a few of the ground rules to	17	person finishes because we think we know
18	make sure we are on the same wavelength.	18	what the end of sentence is. That
19	I am going to be asking you a series of	19	doesn't work in a deposition because the
20	questions; you are obligated to answer	20	court reporter can't take down two people
21	truthfully and to the best of your	21	speaking at once, so please let me finish
22	ability.	22	before you start to speak, and I will do
23	The court reporter has sworn	23	the same for you.
24	you in. The oath that you have taken in	24	Also in normal conversation we
25	this conference room means the same thing	25	tend to answer by nods of heads, or
	Page 8		Page 9
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE
2	"uh-huh" or "uh-uh," that again doesn't	2	with your attorney?
3	work in a deposition, so please try and	3	A. No.
4	keep all of your responses verbal.	4	Q. Did you review any documents to
5	I don't think we are going to	5	prepare for today other than documents
6	be here a long time today. If you want	6	selected by your attorney?
7	to take a break before anybody else in	7	A. No.
8	the room does, don't hesitate to say so.	8	Q. Did you speak to anybody else
9	We can take a break any time you want.	9	besides your attorney about the
10	The only proviso to that is that if there	10	deposition?
11	is a question pending, I am going to ask	11	A. No.
12	you to answer that question before we	12	Q. You are currently employed?
13	take a break; otherwise at any time feel	13	A. Yes.
14	free. We don't want you to testify while	14	Q. By whom?
15	you are feeling uncomfortable, or have a	15	A. Catholic Health Care System.
16	phone call on your mind, or something	16	Q. How long have you worked at
17	else.	17	Catholic Health Care System?
18	Is there any reason why you	18	A. A little over 11 years.
19	wouldn't be able to testify to the best	19	Q. And your current position?
20	of your ability today such as	20	A. President and CEO.
21	medications, or illness, or anything	21	Q. How long have you held that
22	else?	22	position?
23	A. No.	23	A. A little over eight years.
24	Q. Did you do anything to prepare	24	Q. And what was your position
25	for your deposition today besides speak	25	before that?



26085						
	Page 10		Page 11			
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE			
2	A. Chief operating officer.	2	employees?			
3	Q. Any other positions with	3	A. Yes.			
4	Catholic Health Care?	4	Q. Are they represented by SEIU?			
5	A. Senior vice president of	5	A. Some.			
6	administration.	6	Q. About how many employees does			
7	Q. Was that your first position?	7	Catholic Health Care have that are			
8	A. Yes.	8	represented by SEIU?			
9	Q. Now, how many facilities does	9	A. Roughly 3,000.			
10	Catholic Health Care own or operate?	10	Q. Are all of them represented by			
11	A. What do you mean by facilities?	11	1199, or are other locals involved?			
12	Q. Senior health care facilities.	12	A. There are other locals			
13	A. You have to clarify what you	13	involved.			
14	mean by facilities because we have	14	Q. What are the other SEIU locals?			
15	programs that aren't necessarily bricks	15	A. Oh, I misunderstood your			
16	and mortar. I am not sure what you are	16	question.			
17	asking.	17	Q. Oh, okay. We will back up. Of			
18	Q. Okay. Let's start with bricks	18	the approximately 3,000 Catholic Health			
19	and mortar facilities?	19	Care employees represented by SEIU, are			
20	A. Five.	20	they all represented by 1199?			
21	Q. Does SEIU represent the	21	A. Yes.			
22	employees at all of those facilities?	22	Q. What other unions represent			
23	A. Yes.	23	your employees?			
24	Q. Now, you mentioned you also run	24	A. NYSNA and Local 272, I believe.			
25	programs. Do those programs have	25	Q. What union is Local 272			
	Page 12		Page 13			
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE			
2	associated with?	2	Q. Who are the people at 1199 that			
3	A. It is a security guard.	3	you communicate with?			
4	Q. But is it Local 272 of	4	A. I don't currently know their			
5	steelworkers or you don't know?	5	names.			
6	A. I don't know.	6	Q. Okay. What type of issues			
7	Q. Okay. Catholic Health Care's	7	would you get involved in with 1199 in			
8	relationship with SEIU is important to	8	your current position?			
9	it; correct?	9	A. Broad strategic policy kind of			
10	A. Yes.	10	issue.			
11	Q. Are you involved in collective	11	Q. Can you give me an example?			
12	bargaining with 1199?	12	A. Reimbursement to the nursing			
13	A. Are you asking do I do the	13	homes, and advocacy before state			
14	collective bargaining? I am not sure	14	government.			
15	what you are asking.	15	Q. Have there been issues on which			
16	Q. Are you involved in the	16	Catholic Health Care and 1199 have			
17	bargaining in any way? I assume you	17	jointly advocated, for example, on			
18	don't sit at the table?	18	reimbursement rates, or things like that,			
19	A. Correct. Yes.	19	have there been instances where Catholic			
20	Q. Do you communicate personally	20	Health Care has joined with SEIU in			
21	with representatives of 1199?	21	advocating to state or federal agencies?			
22	A. Related to collective	22	A. There are issues of which we			
23	bargaining, or in general?	23	have common positions and beliefs, yes.			
24	Q. In general.	24	Q. Can you give me an example?			
25	A. Yes.	25	A. Proper reimbursement to the			



	26086						
	Page 14	Page 15					
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	nursing homes.	2	A. My board, and our sponsor.				
3	Q. During the time that you worked	3	Q. Who is the sponsor?				
4	at Catholic Health Care, has 1199 gone on	4	A. The Archdiocese of New York.				
5	strike?	5	Q. Excuse me if this is a stupid				
6	A. No.	6	question, but what do you mean when you				
7	Q. Any lockouts?	7	say your sponsor? What does it mean to				
8	A. No.	8					
9		9	be the sponsor, as you use that term?				
10	Q. There came time when a decision		A. I don't want to quote not for				
	was made to sell the Kateri residences,	10	profit law, but with a not for profit				
11	is that the correct way of pronouncing	11	corporation someone appoints the board so				
12	it? I have heard it pronounced a number	12	there are members of the not for profit				
13	of different ways; Kateri, Kateri.	13	corporation. Those members are				
14	A. The Pope refers to it as	14	individuals from the Archdiocese.				
15	Kateri.	15	Q. When was that decision made?				
16	Q. I am going to have to go with	16	A. I don't recall.				
17	the Pope.	17	MR. TRACY: You are				
18	There came a time when the	18	referring to the decision to				
19	decision was made to sell the Kateri	19	sell the Kateri?				
20	facility; correct?	20	MS. ALITO: Yes, thank				
21	A. Yes.	21	you. The decision to sell				
22	Q. And who made that decision?	22	the Kateri.				
23	A. It was a collective decision	23	Q. What were the reasons why it				
24	between the various stakeholders.	24	was decided to sell Kateri?				
25	Q. Who were the stakeholders?	25	A. We believed that there was a				
	Page 16		Page 17				
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	move away from institutionally based	2	process for completing the transaction.				
3	services to homely community-based	3	Q. What was your role in the sale?				
4	services. And as a ministry of the	4	A. Coordinating the various				
5	Church we needed to have the capital	5	stakeholders, and ensuring that we				
6	necessary to do that expansion, and it	6	achieving the objectives of the sale.				
7	was determined that the best way to fund	7	Q. Were there objectives of the				
8	that expansion would be through the sale	8	sale other than obtaining capital for the				
9	of Kateri.	9	newly focused programs?				
10	Q. Was Kateri losing money?	10	A. Yes.				
11	A. Off and on.	11	Q. What were they?				
12	Q. At the time the decision was	12	A. Being a mission-based				
13	made, was that a time when it was losing	13	organization, we were very concerned				
14	money?	14	about what would happen to the residents				
15	A. I believe at the time that the	15	of the facility, and ensuring that we				
16	decision was made to sell it was having a	16	were comfortable that they would be well				
17	negative operating margin.	17	taken care of, and whoever the operator				
18	Q. Morgan Keegan was engaged to	18	of the facility going forward would do so				
19	assist with the sale; correct?	19	in a manner consistent with what we would				
20	A. Yes.	20	expect.				
21	Q. And what was their role?	21	Q. Anything else?				
22	A. Advisors to the transaction.	22	A. We were focused on ensuring				
23	Q. Can you explain what you mean	23	that we had a transaction that we could				
24	by that?	24	bring to a successful conclusion.				
25	A. They helped us establish a	25	Q. What, if any, instructions did				
23	11. They helped us establish a	123	Z. What, it any, monuchons and				



26087						
Page 22 Page 2						
1 S	1 S. LARUE		S. LARUE			
	recommendations Raymond James	1 2	established in consultation with 1199?			
	g to us to consider.	3	MS. CARTER: Objection			
	nber Roman numeral five on	4	to form.			
			MR. TRACY: Objection.			
	nadministrative employees a	6	You can answer.			
	est-close. Also negotiate an	7	Q. So we are going to be your			
1 1	with the SEIU."	8	lawyer probably explained this to you.			
_	that a requirement that was	9	There will be objections throughout the			
	by the committee?	10	deposition today with most of them they			
11 A. Yes.		11	will just be noted and if necessary a			
	that done in consultation	12	judge will rule at some point in time.			
13 with the		13	There will be an occasion, I doubt it			
	not sure what you are	14	will come up, where there is a privileged			
	nat question.	15	issue and your counsel will instruct you			
\mathcal{C}	l, it appears that from the	16	not to answer. With the normal			
	anning for this transaction,	17	objections to form you can answer and the			
	or Catholic Health Care, had as	18	lawyers will fight it out at some point.			
,	ent that any purchaser	19	Do you need that question read			
1	SEIU, and negotiated an	20	back?			
\mathcal{E}	with SEIU. Was that	21	A. Yes.			
	at established solely by the	22	(Whereupon, a portion of			
1	or someone else at Catholic	23				
		24	the testimony was read back.)			
	e, or was that requirement negotiated with 1199, or	25	A. It was a foundational principle that was established originally without			
25 Somenow I		23				
	Page 24		Page 25			
	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE			
2 discussion		2	with a designation as an institutional			
	me ask you a background	3	special needs plan which is for residents			
	We have got ArchCare and we	4	who are nursing home eligible either			
	atholic Health Care. Can you	5	residing within the walls of the nursing			
	at the relationship is between	6	home or in the community.			
	entities, or are they just	7	Q. What is ArchCare Senior Life?			
	ames for the same thing?	8	A. A pace program.			
	nCare is a DBA for Catholic	9	Q. I am sorry?			
10 Health Car	•	10	A. A pace program.			
~	nan numeral six on here	11	MS. ALITO: Let's mark			
	'preferred manager care	12	Exhibit 2.			
	greement with ArchCare with	13	(Whereupon, Bates stamped			
	Advantage, ArchCare Senior Life,	14	Arch 002534 was marked as			
	ner programs."	15	LaRue Exhibit 2 for			
	ou explain that	16	identification, as of this			
17 requiremen		17	date.)			
	had programs that residents	18	Q. Would you take a look at the			
	vere benefitting from outside	19	exhibit, and let me know when you are			
	sed nursing home that we felt	20	ready.			
	ant to ensure the continuity	21	A. (Perusing.)			
	of care going forward that we	22	Q. This is Exhibit 2 is an			
	to the transaction.	23	e-mail from a Corliss Henley with an 1199			
	nt is ArchCare Advantage?	24	e-mail address to you, copies to Suzanne			
25 A. It is	a Medicare advantage plan	25	Alio, Hugo Pizarro, and Joyce Neil,			



	26088						
	Page 26		Page 27				
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	subject "Kateri nursing home sale	2	speak with?				
3	question mark," July 25, 2011. Who is	3	A. Again, I don't recall the				
4	the message is, "hi, Scott. Joyce would	4	names. These people change				
5	like to have an urgent response to Kateri	5	Q. Okay.				
6	possibly being sold. Thank you."	6	A regularly. It would have				
1 7	Who is Joyce?	7	been leadership people that at my level I				
8	A. She worked for the Union.	8	am dealing with.				
9		9	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				
10	Q. Do you know what her position was?	10	Q. Okay. How many discussions did you have?				
11	A. I do not.	11	A. I have no idea.				
12	Q. Did you speak to her about the	12					
13		13	Q. What did you what was the substance of the discussions?				
14	possible sale of Kateri in July of 2011? A. I don't recall.	14					
15		15	A. My main message to the Union				
	Q. Do you recall any conversations	16	was that the employees at Kateri were				
16	with the Union about possible sale of		highly valued by ArchCare or Kateri, and				
17	Kateri in summer of 2011?	17 18	that during the course of a transaction				
18	A. I need the timeline in front of		we would want to ensure that whoever the				
19	me. I don't recall what the timeline of	19	buyer was recognized the fact that the				
20	the sale was.	20	employees were represented by SEIU.				
21	Q. Did you have discussions with	21	Q. Do you remember any comments				
22	1199 about the sale of Kateri before the	22	made by the Union representatives during				
23	successful bidder was selected?	23	these conversations?				
24	A. Yes.	24	A. I do not.				
25	Q. Who from the Union did you	25	Q. Did you discuss any of the				
	Page 28		Page 29				
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	potential bidders with the Union?	2	ArchCare at the top, Morgan Keegan at the				
3	A. I don't believe I ever	3	bottom. Roman numeral one is Extell				
4	discussed specific bidders with the Union	4	Development Company. Was Extell a bidder				
5	prior to a transaction being consummated.	5	for Kateri?				
6	Q. You say you don't believe you	6	A. I believe they expressed an				
7	discussed them. Is it possible that you	7	interest. Whether they were a bidder, I				
8	discussed the bidders with the Union?	8	don't recall.				
9	A. It was seven years ago.	9	Q. Okay. But it is your				
10	Q. Okay.	10	recollection they were at least in the				
11	MS. ALITO: Let's mark	11	mix somehow?				
12	the next exhibit.	12	A. Yes.				
13	(Whereupon, Bates stamped	13	Q. Under Extell it says, "SEIU				
14	RJA-Case One Mgmt-029985 was	14	experience." Do you know what that				
15	marked as LaRue Exhibit 3 for	15	refers to?				
16	identification, as of this	16	A. I do not.				
17	date.)	17	Q. Was experience with the SEIU a				
18	MR. TRACY: Was this	18	factor with regard to the bidders, the				
19	part of a larger document	19	bidding process?				
20	produced?	20	A. Yes.				
21	MS. ALITO: Not that I	21	Q. In what respect was SEIU				
22	am aware of, but we can	22	experience a factor?				
23	check.	23	A. Going back to one of our				
24	Q. This is a list of outstanding	24	principles of the transaction, which was				
25	items dated October 5, 2011. It says	25	ensuring that we can get to a close, I				



	26089						
	Page 58		Page 59				
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	at this point in December Care One was	2	anybody else from Morgan Keegan?				
3			A. If they shared this document				
4	, , ,		with me, we would have discussed this. I				
5	A. Yes.	5	don't recall the conversations specific				
6	Q. Go one page further, 5035. On	6	to this document.				
7	your post-close employment, for each	7	MS. ALITO: Let's take a				
8	bidder there is a discussion of how they	8	short break.				
9	would treat employees. Care One and ML	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We				
10	Equity Partners both reference SEIU. ML	10	are now off the record. The				
11	Equity says, "offer to all SEIU	11	time is 10:31 a.m.				
12	employees, and consideration to	12	(Whereupon, a short break				
13	management employees, but will not employ	13	was taken at this time.)				
14	executive director and administrator."	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We				
15	Care One says, "offer to employ	15	are now on the record. The				
16	all qualified non-executive employees,	16	time is 10:45 a.m.				
17	and will recognize SEIU as exclusive	17	MS. ALITO: Exhibit 12.				
18	bargaining agent." Then it says, "maybe	18	(Whereupon, Bates stamped				
19	other SEIU considerations with bidder."	19	RJA-Case One Mgmt-034447 and				
20	What were the other SEIU	20	034448 was marked as LaRue				
21	considerations?	21	Exhibit 12 for				
22	A. From this document, I don't	22	identification, as of this				
23	know.	23	date.)				
24	Q. Did you discuss what this	24	A. (Perusing.)				
25	bullet point meant with Joe Beck or	25	Q. Page two of Exhibit 12 includes				
	Page 60		Page 61				
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	a red line of the asset purchase	2	A. Yes, again, having a page taken				
3	agreement, and this was an agreement with	3	out of the document without the signed				
4	CareRite; correct? CareRite was	4	final version in front of me, I couldn't				
5	selected?	5	say, but we did have a clause similar to				
6	A. I don't know if this document	6	that in the final document.				
7	was with CareRite. It doesn't reference	7	MS. ALITO: Exhibit 13.				
8	it, but we did sell the facility to	8	(Whereupon, Bates stamped				
9	CareRite.	9	RJA-Case One Mgmt-041990 was				
10	Q. Okay. And around the middle of	10	marked as LaRue Exhibit 13				
11	the page where the red lining appears it	11	for identification, as of				
12	is added in "buyer recognizes and	12	this date.)				
13	acknowledges that seller's non-management	13	A. (Perusing.) Okay.				
14	employees are organized and represented	14	Q. After selecting CareRite as the				
15	by the Surface Employee International	15	successful bidder, it took quite some				
16	Union, and buyer shall offer comparable	16	time to reach closing; correct?				
17	employment, et cetera."	17	A. I don't know how you define				
18	And this is this was added	18	quite some time.				
19	into the asset purchase agreement in	19	Q. About a year and a half?				
20	accordance with what you indicated was a	20 21	MS. CARTER: Objection				
21 22	foundational principle of the sale,	22	to the form. Foundation. A. I don't recall the timeline				
23	correct, that the purchaser recognize SEIU?	23	from the selection of the bidder until				
24	MR. TRACY: Objection.	24	the date we closed. I know when we				
25	You can answer.	25	closed it was, I think, July, summer.				
22	i ou can answer.	123	crosca it was, i mink, sary, summer.				



	26090		
	Page 70		Page 71
1	CIADITE	1	CIADITE
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE
2	maybe we can take a break,	2	(Whereupon, Bates stamped
3	and I can figure out what	3	Arch 000049 through 000051
4	those are.	4	was marked as LaRue Exhibit
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We	5	16 for identification, as of
6	are now off the record. The	6	this date.)
7	time is 11:01 a.m.	7	MR. TRACY: So this is
8	(Whereupon, a short break	8	Exhibit
9	was taken at this time.)	9 10	MS. CARTER: 16.
10 11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We		A. (Perusing.)
	are now on the record. The	11 12	Q. So as I am sure you saw, the
12 13	time is 11:56 a.m.	13	sort of bottom e-mail which starts on the
14	EXAMINATION BY.	14	bottom of the first page and carries on
	MS. CARTER:		is an e-mail that we saw earlier today,
15	Q. Good morning, Mr. LaRue. My	15	and then the top portion appears to be an
16	name is Abigail Carter. I represent the	16	e-mail from Mr. O'Brien to yourself and
17 18	Defendant, the various Union entities in	17 18	several other people; does that seem
19	this. I just wanted to ask you a couple	19	fair?
20	of questions about two documents, then we should be done.	20	MS. ALITO: Object to form.
21		21	
22	So first I am going to hand you what has been marked is Exhibit 16, which	22	A. I am sorry. I didn't hear. MR. TRACY: Ms. Alito
23	is Bates label Arch 49 to 51, and it has	23	
24	previously ben marked as Exhibit 685 in	24	objected. You can still
25	the underlying case.	25	answer the question if you understand it.
23		23	
	Page 72		Page 73
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE
2	A. Yes.	2	then you would be fighting with them all
3	Q. In the third paragraph of this	3	the way up until closing and not end up
4	e-mail to you, the paragraph begins, "my	4	at the number that you started with
5	view is that we turn them down because	5	anyhow.
6	they are not credible buyers in our	6	(Whereupon, Bates stamped
7	judgment"; do you see that?	7	RJA-Case One Mgmt-037502
8	A. Yes.	8	through 037505 was marked as
9	Q. What was your understanding of	9	LaRue Exhibit 17 for
10	any way in which they were not credible	10	identification, as of this
11	buyers?	11	date.)
12	MS. ALITO: Object to	12	Q. I am going to show you another
13	form.	13	document, which has been marked as
14	A. Based on our advisor's input,	14	Exhibit 17 in this deposition. It is
15	they were concerned about the lack of	15	Bates number RJA Case One Management
16	experience in New York, and getting them	16	037502 to 505, previously marked as
17	through the regulatory approval process.	17	Exhibit 686 in this case.
18 19	There was concern that they were	18 19	And for purposes of your
20	primarily focused on a real estate	20	review, I am going to be asking you
21	transaction and not maintaining the	21	questions mostly about paragraph three of
22	nursing home and the mission going forward. And there was concern that the	22	the top of the second page.
23		23	A. (Perusing.) Okay.
	offer wasn't a real offer, meaning the experience that our advisors had had,	24	Q. Is the TCC Redevelopment Task Force Subcommittee of the Planning
0.7		14 T	roice subcommittee of the Flamming
24 25	they put a higher number out there, but	25	Committee I will just ask, what is



	PageID: 26091						
	Page 82		Page 83				
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	form.	2	discussion ensued regarding the offers.				
3	A. One of the principles of the	3	Then it says, "the consensus of the				
4	transaction is that we were selling it	4	trustees on the task force was to proceed				
5	only to a buyer that we were convinced as	5	with the CareRite offer"; do you see				
6	we could be would continue to operate it	6	that?				
7	as an nursing home, and that was not just	7	A. Yes.				
8	an Archdiocesan principle, it was a	8	Q. What role, if any, did the				
9	principle of our board, and it was a	9	trustees on the task force have in				
10	principle of myself in the entire	10	connection with the ultimate decision to				
11	transaction. We did not want a real	11	sell the facility to CareRite?				
12	estate deal.	12	A. I am going to ask you to repeat				
13	Q. Is it fair to say that one of	13	the question again.				
14	the significant concerns that you had	14	Q. Sure. What was the role of the				
15	about the Care One offer was that the	15	trustees on the task force in connection				
16	purchase price suggested they were not	16	with the sale of Kateri?				
17	interested in continuing to operate it as	17	A. To make a final recommendation				
18	a nursing home in the long term?	18	in terms of who the buyer of the facility				
19	MS. ALITO: Objection to	19	would be.				
20	form.	20	Q. And that was a recommendation				
21	A. Yes.	21	that was passed on to the Archdiocese?				
22	Q. Do you recall having any	22	A. Again, because you need				
23	so well, I am going to ask a different	23	canonical approval, we had to ensure that				
24	question. The last paragraph under this	24	I had all of the key constituents on the				
25	bullet point three states that a	25	same page, and that was one of the				
	Page 84		Page 85				
1	S. LARUE	1	S. LARUE				
2	purposes of the subcommittee. So yes,	2	The details of the canonical ladder, I am				
3	ultimately it canonically had to go to	3	not an expert in.				
4	the bishop, and the bishop had to make a	4	Q. I would never claim to even				
5	recommendation to Rome, which is why it	5	come close to knowledge of the details of				
6	was extraordinarily important that we had	6	those ladders, so that's fine.				
7	covered all of our bases as it relates to	7	MR. TRACY: I actually				
8	what was going to happen to the residents	8	took a canon law class in law				
9	in the facility, and we weren't caught in	9	school, but we didn't discuss				
10	a situation that somebody, you know, was	10	property sales in nursing				
11	shutting the doors and discharging 520	11	homes.				
12	elderly people into the community.	12	MS. CARTER: Property				
13	Q. So just to be sure I understand	13	sale is not probably a big				
14	the process, the task force came up with	14	agenda item in the canon law				
15	its recommendation, which was made to the	15	syllabus.				
16	bishop. The bishop then made a	16	Q. If these are meeting minutes				
17	recommendation to Rome, and that was the	17	from the meeting of the task force, or				
18	final step in the process?	18	the task force subcommittee planning				
19	A. I would say that our advisors	19	committee on Monday, December 12th, do				
20	made a recommendation to the committee.	20	you recall if there was any further				
21	The committee reviewed the	21	meeting of the trustees of the task force				
22	recommendations of the advisors, came to	22	as to the decision to proceed with the				
23	their conclusion, and then selected a	23	CareRite offer, or was this it?				
24	buyer which was then referred with the	24	A. I don't recall. If that was				
25	recommendation up the canonical ladder.	25	the final recommendation, then my job at				



	12-CV-00371-3DVV-WAH		geID: 260		Filed 00/23/23 Page 11 0/ 14
			Page 94		Page 95
1	12 D 1 D	50		1	
2	12 Bates stamped RJA-Case One Mgmt-034447 and 034448	59		2	CERTIFICATE
3	right 03 1117 and 03 1110			3 4	I, ILYSA A. LINZER, a Shorthand
	13 Bates stamped RJA-Case One	61		5	Reporter and Notary Public of the State
4 5	Mgmt-041990 14 Bates stamped Arch 004824	65		6	of New York, do hereby certify:
6	15 Bates stamped Arch 005145	67		7	
7	16 Bates stamped Arch 000049	71		8	That, SCOTT LARUE, the Witness
8	through 000051			9 10	whose examination is hereinbefore set
O	17 Bates stamped RJA-Case One	73		11	forth, was duly sworn, and that such examination is a true record of the
9	Mgmt-037502 through 037505			12	testimony given by such Witness.
10 11				13	
12				14	I further certify that I am not
13				15	related to any of the parties to this
14				16 17	action by blood or marriage; and that I
15 16				18	am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
17				19	tins matter.
18				20	Musa 1.
19 20					ILYSA A. LECER DECEMBER 3, 2018
21				21	
22				22 23	
23 24				23 24	
25				25	
l					
ı					





windelsmarx.com

James Tracy 212.237.1180 jtracy@windelsmarx.com

January 8, 2019

Via Federal Express

Re:

Customer Service Department Magna Legal Services 1635 Market Street, 8th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

CareOne Management, LLC et al v. United Healthcare Workers East, SEIU

1199, et al

United States District Court, District of New Jersey

Civil Action No.: 12-cv-06371

To Whom It May Concern:

Please find enclosed the signed and notarized errata sheet and signature page for the deposition of Scott LaRue, which was held on November 21, 2018. Should you have any questions or need any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

James Track

cc:

Rosemary Alito, Esq. (via email) Caitlin Kekacs, Esq. (via email)

Enclosure

{11656044:1}

ERRATA SHEET

Document 496-2

PageID: 26094

Case Name: CareOne Management, LLC et al v. United Healthcare Workers East, SEIU

1199, et al

United States District Court, District of New Jersey

Civil Action No.: 12-ev-06371

Date of Deposition: November 21, 2018

Name of Witness: Scott LaRue

Page and Line	Correction	Reason
p. 16, line 3	"homely" should be "home"	Transcription error
p. 40, line 8	"COM" should be CON	Transcription error
p. 41, line 20	"hurtles" should be "hurdles"	Transcription error
p. 54, line 15	"missioning" should be "mission"	Transcription error
p. 62, line 12	"tact partly" should be "Taft Hartley" SCOTT LaRUE	Transcription error

Subscribed and sworn to before before me this 3144 day of December, 2018

> Sarah D Sh NOTARY PUBLIC

{11651046:1}

Document 496-2 PageID: 26095



I	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
2	1, Scott La RUR do	
3	hereby certify that I have read the foregoing pages, 1 - PGS, and that the	
4	same is a correct transcription of the	
5	answers given by me to the questions therein propounded, except for the	
6	corrections or changes in form or substance, if any, noted in the attached	
7	Errata Sheet.	
·	.3	
8	WITNESS NAME DATE	_
9		
10	Subscribed and sworn	ометь на комплек и пометно им мень тотория и то предменяющего примененняющего при выполнения на применения при
11		
12		
13	My commission expires: 10/31/2020	
14		MARAH D
15	Notary Public	NOTAP STORY OF THE
16		NOTAPY OF STATES
17		07 07 03499200 V
		WILLIAM VEW YORKING
18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19		
20		
21		
22		

www.MagnaLS.com

866-624-6221

Seven Penn Center 1635 Market Street - 8th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103